Feed additive combinations – the key to fully unlocking healthy poultry potential? January 16, 2014







Upcoming Webinars:

February 19, 2014: "Breathing from the beginning: Efficiently controlling respiratory diseases from the hatchery," *sponsored by MSD Animal Health*

To register for any of these upcoming webinars, please visit: <u>www.wattagnet.com/webinars.aspx</u>





Today's Speakers:



Dr. Kirk Klasing University of California



Dr. Ajay Awati Danisco Animal Nutrition





Dr. Kirk Klasing is an extensively published expert on poultry nutrition and immunology, with more than 175 peer reviewed publications, 10 books and eight awards to his name for his work in poultry nutrition. Specialist topics focus on the interaction between poultry nutrition and immunity, including the effect of diet on immunecompetence, the nutritional cost of immunity and the impact of immune responses on growth-related physiology. He is currently Professor of Avian Biology in the Department of Animal Science at the University of California, Davis, where he has worked for over 25 years. Previously, he was Assistant Professor of Animal Science at University of Illinois. He holds a BS in Agricultural Science and an MS in Animal Science from Purdue University and a PhD in Nutritional Biochemistry from Cornell University.







Sponsored by



What is the Nutritional Cost of Achieving Immunity

KIRK C. KLASING

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS







Tackling recognized pathogens is only part of the story in tackling poultry gut health

 A 1 kg chicken consumes ≈ 15 g food antigens daily & possesses ≈ 15 g of antigens associated with commensal microflora

• The immune system has the capacity to detect antigens at the ng/ml level (10⁻⁹g/ml)

• Pathogen antigens are the proverbial "needle in the haystack"





Bird age, genetics and production conditions impact immunity...

















HYGIENE





Intra-epithelial lymphocytes (IEL) in chickens are natural killer (NK), B and T cells (Klasing, 2005).



Microbial ecology

- Luminal micro-biota – greatly influenced by chemical and physical composition of digesta and rate of passage.

Typically do not trigger an inflammatory or cellular response (unless toxins released)

- Secretory IgA response – tethers those that penetrate into mucous layer for removal

- Mucous micro-biota
- Epithelial micro-biota





- Mucous micro-biota – specialized

to use mucin as nutrition

Receptors for mucin
Trigger benign IgA response
Nutritional effects?





- Epithelial microbiota

 Attach to glycocalyx or apical membrane. May form bio-film





Immune-response relationships

- **Commensal** relationship between two organisms where one organism benefits but the other is unaffected
- Mutualism both organisms benefit
- Pathogenic harmful

Often the same microbial species can have all three of these relationships, depending on circumstance!

Gene expression pattern is often more important than species





Nutrition and Immune response are linked

- Almost all nutrients in a diet play a fundamental role in sustaining optimal immune function
- Deficient or excessive nutrient intake can negatively impact immune status or pathogen susceptibility
- Very important to maintain immune activity without inflammation

a Immunological equilibrium





Intestinal Integrity(1)

"The cells and products constituting the barrier against leakage or translocation of feed components, microbial toxins and microorganisms from the lumen to the body" *Jeurissen et al., 2002*





Intestinal Integrity(2)

"The cells and products constituting the barrier against leakage or translocation of feed components, microbial toxins and microorganisms from the lumen to the body" *Jeurissen et al, 2002*



OPON.

The essential balance

- Positive gut microbes
 - Early colonizers of gut
 - Form protective barrier
 - Provide benign stimulation of innate immune syste
 - Maintain equilibrium by strength of numbers
 - Solution make sure the equilibrium is maintained and the positive gut microbes are in control





Barrier integrity: Epithelial tight junctions

Mucin fibril network







The immune system judges micro-biota by:





Effects of an inflammatory response on barrier and absorptive functions









Rye + dextran









Larger goblet cells both on the villi and in the crypts at the age of 29 d in broilers given a wheat/rye diet than in those given a maize diet. Especially in the ileum and caecum.



Teirlynck et al., British Journal of Nutrition (2009), 102, 1453–1461



Nutrient absorption during intestinal inflammation

	Dietary Treatment					
Nutrient	Basal	+dextran	+antibiotic	+dextran	SEM	Significant
				+antibiotic		P Value
Nitrogen	100	103	97	102	2.2	D, AxD
Lysine	100	102	97	95	2.9	-
Methionine	100	99	98	103	1.9	-
Glutamine	100	111	102	107	2.0	D, AxD
Lipid	100	88	105	93	1.8	D, AxD
Retinol	100	76	97	76	3.1	D
Lutein	100	68	102	79	3.4	D, AxD
Са	100	100	103	95	2.8	-
Fe	100	94	96	95	2.7	-
Zn	100	91	105	96	1.9	D
Cu	100	90	97	94	1.8	D
raunent remaining in treatment group/control group						





Foot pad dermatitis, Yolk sac infections, Cellulitis









Reasons for decreased performance due to an immune response

- Diversions? Repartitioning To immune defenses
- Metabolic inefficiencies? Mismatches in supply vs needs
- Digestive inefficiencies?



Evaluation of the size of the cost of an immune response to E. coli





Protective Proteins

Ceruloplasmin Lysozme Ferritin Hemopexin Transferrin Haptoglobin Metallothionein α -2 Macroglobulin Avidin α -1 acid glycoprot Complement Mannan binding protein C-reactive protein Immunoglobulin – M, Y, A

Leukocytes

B lymphocytes T lymphocytes Monocytes/macrophages Heterophils Thrombocytes



Total Lysine Content Total Ab Specific Ab 116% 160 · APP Peripheral Leukocytes Lysine content (mg/bird) Thymic Leukocytes 140 Bone Marrow Leukocytes 55% Splenic Leukocytes 120 100 -80 60 40 20 0 Acute Adaptive Maintenance phase phase



Proportion of lysine used for systemic immunity

Component:	% of the Imr	mune System	% of the W	hole Chicken
Innate	Maintenance	Max Response	Maintenance	Max Response
Cells	0.8	0.7	0.04	0.09
Extracellular Proteins	59.5	79.2	0.32	1.01
Adaptive				
Cells	0.7	0.4	0.05	0.02
Immunoglobulins	39.1	19.7	0.22	0.25
Subtotal	100	100	0.55	1.28
Liver				1.74
Total				3.02



Diversions? Repartitioning To immune defenses

Metabolic inefficiencies? Mismatches in supply vs needs Increased energy expenditure

Digestive inefficiencies?





Mismatch between Immune System and Skeletal Muscle Amino Acid Balances

	Innate System		Adaptive System
Amino acid	24hr Ratio ¹	5d Ratio ¹	5d Ratio ¹
Arginine	0.81	0.94	0.82
Cystiene	1.88	1.62	1.67
Glycine	1.53	1.42	1.43
Histidine	0.76	0.79	0.42
Isoleucine	0.83	0.80	0.50
Leucine	0.96	1.00	0.89
Lysine	0.69	0.72	0.42
Methionine	0.72	0.61	0.36
Phenylalanine	0.82	0.90	0.66
Proline	0.99	1.04	2.11
Threonine	1.40	1.29	1.04
Valine	1.09	1.18	2.26



Diversions? Repartitioning To immune defenses

-Metabolic inefficiencies? Mismatches in supply vs needs Increased energy expendature

-Digestive inefficiencies?





Nutrient absorption after an E. coli challenge

		Treatr		
Nutrient	Control	+ E.coli	SEM	Significant
				P Value
	(pair fed)			
Starch	100	96	2.8	-
Lysine	100	98	2.6	-
Methionine	100	92	2.0	0.05
Glutamine	100	101	2.4	-
Lipid	100	81	2.2	< 0.01
Retinol	100	56	2.1	< 0.01
Lutein	100	36	3.0	< 0.01
Ca	100	89	2.8	0.03
Fe	100	63	2.9	< 0.01
Zn	100	95	1.5	0.06
Cu	100	92	2.8	0.06

Effect of decrease digestion on growth?

	Dietary Treatment			
Nutrient	Control	Adjusted		
	(pair fed)			
Starch	100	96		
Lysine	100	98		
Methionine	100	92		
Glutamine	100	101		
Lipid	100	81		
Retinol	100	56		
Lutein	100	36		
Са	100	89		
Fe	100	83		
Zn	100	95		
Cu	100	92		
Gain	144+4	131+5 = 9%		



-Diversions? Repartitioning To immune defenses

-Metabolic inefficiencies? Mismatches in supply vs needs Increased energy expendature

-Digestive inefficiencies?



Dr. Ajay Awati is a qualified vet and a doctor of Animal Sciences with over eight years healthy nutrition experience and an extensive back catalogue of peer reviewed publications, book chapters, trade press articles and conference speaker places. His Masters degree -taken at Wageningen University and Research centre in the Netherlands where he also did his PhD - was focused on nutrition and immunology in poultry. He joined Danisco Animal Nutrition as Senior Scientist and Development team lead in 2012, having previously worked at Nutreco and the Riddet Institute, New Zealand.









Use of combination containing *Bacillus*, Xylanase, Amylase and Protease to improve broiler performance

AJAY AWATI DANISCO ANIMAL NUTRITION





The last 50 years....

Broiler body weight at 42 days





Based on Rauw 1998 & Ross recommendations



Today....Things Have Become More Complicated





One Possible Solution....Combined Enzymes

Substrate (ANF)	Anti-nutritional effect	Enzyme
Soluble viscous NSPs (e.g arabinoxylans)	 ↑ viscosity and digesta retention time ↓ nutrient absorption ↑ activity of intestinal microflora 	Xylanase
Insoluble, non-viscous NSPs	↓ accessibility of nutrients by physical entrapment	Xylanase
Resistant Starch	 ↓ ME value of ingredients ↑ substrate for gut microflora 	Amylase
Indigestible Proteins (Trypsin Inhibitors, antigens)	↓ ME and AA value of ingredients ↑ substrate for pathogens	Protease
Phytate	↓ Dig AA and minerals, ME ↑ P and Ca excretion	Phytase

Each class of enzyme targets specific substrate Substrates more accessible by other enzymesInteractions



Another Possible Solution...Probiotics

Benefits of using probiotics:

- Production of nutrients and vitamins
- Reduction in meat contamination
- Improved animal performance
- Prevention of inflammatory reactions
 - Alternative to AGPs

Benefits of using *Bacillus* spores:

- Stable during distribution, feed processing and storage
- Long shelf life
- In the chicken GI tract, germinate rapidly
- Active in the GI tract

There may be a better way...

Probiotics + enzymes = better maintained 'gut health'



Combination of 3 strains of *Bacillus* + xylanase, amylase and protease enzymes



Scientific studies showed...(1)

Corn/SBM/corn DDGs

- Ross 308 male broiler
- 6 replicate pens
- 22 birds/pen
- DFM: 3 Bacillus strains
- 21d digestibility study



AMEn (kcal/kg DM)





Scientific studies showed...(2)

- Corn/SBM/corn DDGs
- Ross 308 male broiler
- 6 replicate pens
- 22 birds/pen
- DFM: 3 Bacillus strains
- 21d digestibility study







Scientific studies showed...(3)

Corn/SBM/corn DDGs

- Ross 308 male broiler
- 6 replicate pens
- 22 birds/pen
- DFM: 3 Bacillus strains
- 21d digestibility study





conditions

NE-Challenged

Scientific studies showed...(4)



- Corn/SBM, 10% corn DDGs
- 8 replicates (pen)/treat
- 50 birds/pen
- NE (necrotic enteritis) induced by a broth culture of *Clostridium perfringens* during day 20-22



Body weight gain (g, 0-42 days)

^{abc} Values without a common superscript are significantly different (P<0.05)

XAP= xylanase, amylase and protease

DFM = A mixture of 3 Bacillus strains

....

Mathis et al., 2013

Scientific studies showed...(5)

bb 500 male 1.97^a 1.87^b 1.82^b 1.82^b 1.82^b 1.82^b 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.82^b 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.76^c 1.82^b 1.76^c 1.76^c

> ^{abc} Values without a common superscript are significantly different (P<0.05) XAP= xylanase, amylase and protease DFM = A mixture of 3 *Bacillus* strains

Cobb x Cobb 500 male broilers

- Corn/SBM, 10% corn DDGs
- 8 replicates (pen)/treat
- 50 birds/pen

• NE (necrotic enteritis) induced by a broth culture of *Clostridium perfringens* during day 20-22

Mathis et al., 2013



Scientific studies showed...(6)

- Cobb x Cobb 500 male broilers
- Corn/SBM, 10% corn DDGs
- 8 replicates (pen)/treat
- 50 birds/pen

• NE (necrotic enteritis) induced by a broth culture of *Clostridium perfringens* during day 20-22



^{abc} Values without a common superscript are significantly different (P<0.05) XAP= xylanase, amylase and protease DFM = A mixture of 3 *Bacillus* strains

Mathis et al., 2013

The gap between genetic potential and actual performance is variable and under-estimated





Conclusions:

- Enzymes are substrate specific and with this specificity they target certain ANFs to improve nutrient digestion in the gut
- DFMs by providing a healthy environment for beneficial bacteria create a better gut condition to improve nutrient absorption
- Combination of enzymes and DFMs can have positive additive effect on feed utilization either in normal unchallenged conditions or under challenge conditions like Necrotic Enteritis.
- <u>http://www.noveltouch.co.uk/dupont-poultry/app.html</u>



Thank You!!

Copyright © 2011 DuPont or its affiliates. All rights reserved. The DuPont Oval Logo, DuPont[™], The miracles of science[™] and all products denoted with [™] or [®] are registered trademarks or trademarks of E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company or its affiliates.

Images reproduced by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company under license from the National Geographic Society.



The miracles of science™

Copyright © 2012 DuPont or its affiliates. All rights reserved. The DuPont Oval Logo, DuPont[™] Danisco[®] and all products denoted with [™] or [®] are registered trademarks or trademarks of E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company or its affiliates.

Question & Answer:



Dr. Kirk Klasing kcklasing@ucdavis.edu



Dr. Ajay Awati Ajay.Awati@dupont.com

Presented by





Sponsored by

Thank You for Attending!

To view an archive of today's webinar or to register for an upcoming webinar, please visit: <u>www.wattagnet.com/webinars.aspx</u>







Upcoming Webinars:

February 19, 2014: "Breathing from the beginning: Efficiently controlling respiratory diseases from the hatchery," *sponsored by MSD Animal Health*

To register for any of these upcoming webinars, please visit: <u>www.wattagnet.com/webinars.aspx</u>





Thank You for Attending!

To view an archive of today's webinar or to register for an upcoming webinar, please visit: <u>www.wattagnet.com/webinars.aspx</u>





