Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01681605)



International Journal of Food Microbiology

journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/ijfoodmicro>



# Rough and smooth morphotypes isolated from Lactobacillus farciminis CNCM I-3699 are two closely-related variants



Raouf Tareb <sup>a,b</sup>, Marion Bernardeau <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Philippe Horvath <sup>c</sup>, Jean-Paul Vernoux <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Unité de Recherche Aliments Bioprocédés Toxicologie Environnements (UR ABTE) EA 4651, Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, Caen, France

<sup>b</sup> DuPont Industrial Biosciences, Danisco Animal Nutrition, Marlborough, UK

<sup>c</sup> DuPont Nutrition and Health, Dangé-Saint-Romain, France

#### article info abstract

Article history: Received 11 February 2014 Received in revised form 27 May 2014 Accepted 29 August 2014 Available online 13 October 2014

Keywords: Aggregation CRISPR Lactobacillus farciminis Rough Smooth

This study focused on a pleomorphic strain Lactobacillus farciminis CNCM I-3699 known as probiotic for animal applications. On plating, this strain was characterized by the presence of rough and smooth morphotypes depending on experimental conditions. Dominant smooth (S) form, bright white, having smooth edges with moist, ropy, and creamy along with rough (R) form, pale white, having irregular edges and a dry and granular aspect were always obtained from the parent strain under aerobic culture conditions. In anaerobic conditions, only S form growth was observed. Biochemical dosage of capsular exopolysaccharides showed a significant difference between S and R forms ( $p < 0.01$ ), in agreement with a ropy or non ropy phenotype for the S or R form, respectively. These differences were confirmed by transmission electronic microscopy. The auto-aggregation profile revealed major differences in cultural behaviors. The R morphotype presented a highly auto-aggregative ability contrary to the S morphotype. However, biochemical and molecular analyses revealed that R and S morphotypes: 1) shared the same sugar fermentation pattern; 2) belonged to L. farciminis species using 16S rDNA sequencing; 3) had identical PFGE patterns using NotI and ApaI endonucleases; and 4) had identical CRISPR loci but different from those of other L. farciminis strains. Furthermore, the novelty and uniqueness of CRISPR spacer sequences in CNCM I-3699 provides a genetic support for the development of a molecular tracking tool for CNCM I-3699 and its variants. In conclusion, L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 is a pleomorphic strain giving reproducibly rise to two phenotypically distinct morphotypes R and S. This phenomenon may explain survival and growth abilities in in vitro fluctuating aerobic–anaerobic conditions along with modulation of exopolysaccharide synthesis and autoaggregation profile.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Phenotypic variations within single-strain populations are known as pleomorphism [\(Wainwright et al., 1999](#page-8-0)), which is common in the biological world, especially in bacteria. Observed on solid media, it is expressed as heterogeneous colony morphotypes ranging from rough (R) to smooth (S) ([Klaenhammer and Kleeman, 1981\)](#page-8-0). The generation of such variants maximizes bacteria fitness for optimizing population level in response to fluctuating environments and is considered to be an important adaptive strategy [\(Davidson and Surette, 2008; Wolf](#page-8-0) [et al., 2005\)](#page-8-0). These variations may arise as a response to limiting or changing growth conditions. Temperature, pH, oxygen level, and some components of the growth medium have been shown to induce changes in cell and colony morphology. In these cases, the whole bacterial

[jean-paul.vernoux@unicaen.fr](mailto:jean-paul.vernoux@unicaen.fr) (J.-P. Vernoux).

population suffers from these variations, the original morphological type reappearing when the original growth conditions are restored [\(Reinheimer et al., 1995\)](#page-8-0).

These morphological changes can also occur in some strains in prolonged optimal culture conditions, leading new morphotypes to appear spontaneously with a low frequency [\(Monk et al., 2004\)](#page-8-0). Colonial heterogeneity actually reflects differential expression of components on bacteria cell surfaces within the colony ([Horn et al., 2013\)](#page-8-0). Some of these surface components are often involved in the colonization of the host, virulence of pathogenic microorganisms, interactions with the immune system, biofilm formation, and stress resistance ([Monk et al.,](#page-8-0) [2004](#page-8-0)). For a long time these properties have been targeted to study and understand the mechanisms of virulence and host colonization in pathogens such as Brucella spp. [\(Zygmunt et al., 2009\)](#page-8-0), Candida spp. [\(França et al., 2011\)](#page-8-0), Escherichia coli [\(Dong et al., 2009](#page-8-0)), Pseudomonas aeruginosa ([Putignani et al., 2008\)](#page-8-0), and Shigella spp. ([Coimbra et al.,](#page-7-0) [1999\)](#page-7-0).

Similarly, phenotypic variations within lactic acid bacteria (LAB) populations have been observed early on ([Rogosa and Mitchell, 1950](#page-8-0)) and noted in the systematic investigation of LAB ([Kandler and Weiss,](#page-8-0)

<sup>⁎</sup> Corresponding authors at: Unité de Recherche Aliments Bioprocédés Toxicologie Environnements (UR ABTE) EA 4651, Université de Caen Basse-Normandie, Caen, France. E-mail addresses: [marion.bernardeau@dupont.com](mailto:marion.bernardeau@dupont.com) (M. Bernardeau),

[1986\)](#page-8-0). Their natural habitats vary widely, encompassing plant materials, soil, and the gastro-intestinal tract (GIT) of human and farm animals ([Claesson et al., 2007](#page-7-0)). Within the LAB group, Lactobacillus species are Gram-positive bacteria known mostly for their technological importance in the feed and food industry, providing interesting properties such as preservative action, enhancement of flavor, texture and nutritional effects or probiotic effects on the host ([Bernardeau et al., 2006,](#page-7-0) [2008; Kumari et al., 2011\)](#page-7-0). Species forming the Lactobacillus genus are typically subjected to variable environments during their technological production. Many stresses are encountered in industrial processes and include oxidative, temperature, osmotic, phage, and/or solvent contact stresses during industrial fermentation, as well as industrial processing stresses such as freeze-drying or freezing [\(Bron and Kleerebezem,](#page-7-0) [2011](#page-7-0)). Some pleomorphic traits giving to these strains technological advantages were described in this genus [\(Klaenhammer and Kleeman,](#page-8-0) [1981\)](#page-8-0). Morphological and phenotypic variants of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus LB6 were reported and associated with variable phage resistance phenotype ([Vescovo et al., 1990](#page-8-0)). L. helveticus ATCC 15807 was shown to have a heterogeneous cell constitution, which could be a source of spontaneous, stable variants with particular technological characteristics such as mannose and fructose fermentation ability, phage resistance, and proteolytic activity ([Reinheimer](#page-8-0) [et al., 1995\)](#page-8-0). For probiotics strains that are defined as "living microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to the host" [\(WHO/FAO, 2002](#page-8-0)), the impressive variety of technological stresses encountered during production, was enhanced during shelf-life of the product to be able to reach gut in living conditions, and after that to survive in the gut. In the consumer's gastro-intestinal (GI) tract, stress conditions include low-gastric pH, bile and digestive enzyme exposure in the duodenum, and elevated microbial competition and osmolarity in the colon [\(Kleerebezem et al., 2010](#page-8-0)). All these variable stress conditions induce an adaptative strategy for survival including pleomorphism. For example, variable antagonistic properties against pathogens according to the rough or smooth form of Lactobacillus crispatus 104 were reported ([Conway and Kjelleberg, 1998\)](#page-8-0). Other studies have demonstrated variable morphotype-dependent abilities such as aggregation and adhesion properties, host colonization, and immunomodulation ([Cesena et al., 2001; Voltan et al., 2007](#page-7-0)), exopolysaccharide synthesis [\(Bouzar et al., 1996; Nikolic et al., 2012](#page-7-0)), and sugar fermentation ([Reinheimer et al., 1995; Whitley and](#page-8-0) [Marshall, 1999](#page-8-0)).

Lactobacillus farciminis CNCM I-3699 is a probiotic strain with a scientific and commercial interest. Mixed with Lactobacillus rhamnosus CNCM I-3698, these two strains have been approved by EFSA as zootechnical feed additive for post-weaning piglets [\(Commission](#page-8-0) [regulation, 2008](#page-8-0)). Antimicrobial and livestock properties have been demonstrated in vivo in pigs ([Owusu-Asiedu et al., 2012; Sánchez](#page-8-0) [et al., 2008](#page-8-0)). Antagonistic activities against zoonotic pathogens of swine Brachyspira spp. [\(Bernardeau et al., 2009](#page-7-0)) and Campylobacter spp. [\(Tareb et al., 2013](#page-8-0)) were demonstrated in vitro and suggested to be linked to aggregation properties, thus highlighting the role of surface components in probiotic properties. Furthermore L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 is a pleiomorphic strain, able to produce concomitantly distinct colonial morphologies on solid media in vitro. In this study, from the parental strain CNCM I-3699, we isolated two variants that were identified and compared for main phenotypic and genotypic traits including aggregation abilities.

# 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Lactobacillus strains were stored in de Man Rogosa Sharpe broth (MRS, AES, Combourg, France) with 30% glycerol at −80 °C and subcultured twice in appropriate broth at 37 °C in aerobic conditions for subculturing. Strains investigated in this study are detailed in Table 1.

Experimental culture conditions consisted in incubations in MRS broth during 18 h at 37 °C without agitation leading to a final concentration of  $10^9$  cfu $\cdot$ ml<sup>-1</sup>. Atmospheric conditions for broth cultures were either aerobic, microaerobic or anaerobic to check their ability to produce different morphotypes. Variation of oxygen content of the atmospheric culture conditions was done using GENbox generators (Biomerieux). Plating was done on MRS agar (1.5% agar, Merck) incubated during 48 h at 37 °C either in aerobic, microaerobic, or anaerobic conditions, and was used for observations and enumeration.

# 2.2. Stability and storage of morphotypes

The reversion potential of each morphotype under different atmospheric environments was examined to define conditions under which the shift to the other morphotype was triggered and to test their stability. For all conditions, plates containing 30 to 300 colonies were examined, and the entire content of the plates was counted with respect to colony morphology. Serial passages were performed in 10 ml MRS broth for 24 h at 37 °C in aerobic conditions. The stability of the obtained rough and smooth variants was checked before and after storage at −80 °C.

#### 2.3. Macroscopic description of colonies

The different features of colony morphology were recorded from MRS agar plates incubated for 48 h at 37 °C in aerobic or anaerobic conditions. Ropy phenotype was determined by touching the surface of the colony with a plastic tip and stretching.

### 2.4. Electron microscopy

L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 cells from the S and R colonies were grown under aerobic conditions in MRS broth as described above. For scanning electron microscopy the liquid cultures were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000  $\times$ g. The resulting cell pellets were fixed, dehydrated, and dried as previously described [\(Bernardeau et al., 2009](#page-7-0)). All preparations were observed under a JEOL 6400 F scanning electron microscope. For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the protocol was adapted from [\(Schär-Zammaretti and Ubbink, 2003\)](#page-8-0). Briefly, bacteria were suspended in a mixture of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in RR cacodylate buffer (0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer at  $pH = 7.0$  containing 0.04% Ruthenium Red) and incubated at 4 °C. After 1 h, the sedimented part of the suspensions was microencapsulated in agar gel tubes. The samples were fixed by incubation in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in RR cacodylate buffer and incubated for 16 h at 4 °C. The samples were washed 3 times with RR cacodylate buffer and incubated in 2% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer for 2 h at room temperature. The samples were next washed as described above before dehydration in a series of solutions with an



References and culture conditions of microbial strains used in this study.



<sup>a</sup> DSMZ Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH.

**b** CIP Collection Institut Pasteur, France.

<sup>c</sup> Danisco Culture Collection.

Type strain CIP 103136.

ethanol concentration increasing from 50% to 100%. The samples were then embedded by three successive incubations for 16 h at 4 °C in 50% Spurr resin in ethanol, 75% Spurr resin in ethanol, and finally in 100% Spurr resin. After polymerization of the resin (70 °C, 48 h), ultra-thin sections were cut with ultra-microtome (Leïca Ultra Cut R). Ultra-thin sections (70 nm thickness) stained with aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate were examined under a transmission electron microscope (TEM-JEOL 1011/micrograph MegaviewIII SIS).

# 2.5. Aggregation assays

Aggregation abilities of the two morphotypes were screened by spectrophotometric assay according to the method of [Collado et al.](#page-8-0) [\(2007\)](#page-8-0) using bacteria cells washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and resuspended in PBS to obtain  $10^8$  cfu $\cdot$ ml<sup>-1</sup> as described previously [\(Tareb et al., 2013](#page-8-0)). The presence of soluble clumping factors in the cell culture supernatant which accelerate aggregation rate was evaluated by visual observation according to the method of [Reniero et al. \(1992\)](#page-8-0) by adding 10% (v/v) of freshly prepared, filter-sterilized (0.2 μm diameter membrane pore size, Millipore) MRS supernatant (from a 18 h cell culture at 37 °C) to the corresponding PBS-washed Lactobacillus suspension (10<sup>8</sup> cfu·ml<sup>-1</sup>). Cultures were then immobilized at room temperature and visualized after 2 and 24 h of incubation.

# 2.6. Assessment of carbohydrate fermentation patterns

Carbohydrate fermentation patterns were determined using API 50CHL galleries (BioMérieux, Marcy-l'Etoile, France) following the manufacturer's instructions. The API test system did not include L. farciminis as a reference species.

#### 2.7. Isolation and quantification of capsular polysaccharides (CPS)

L. farciminis S and R variants were grown at 37 °C for 18 h in 10 ml of MRS broth to obtain a final population of  $10^9\ \mathrm{c} \mathrm{fu} \cdot \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ . The culture was centrifuged at 10,000  $\times$ g for 15 min at 4 °C. To isolate CPS, the cells were washed twice with 0.9% NaCl, and further resuspended in 360 μl of 0.5 M EDTA by pipetting up and down. CPS was dissociated from cells by two times sonication for 5 s at 4 °C at 30 W with 2 s/1 s pulse (Vibracell TM 72408, Bioblock Scientific, France). The suspension was then transferred to a microtube and boiled at 100 °C for 5 min. Samples were then centrifuged at 18,000  $\times$ g for 10 min at 4 °C, cell debris were removed, and 300 μl of the supernatant containing the CPS was transferred to a cold microtube. Proteinase K (20 mg·ml−<sup>1</sup> final concentration) was added and samples were incubated at 60 °C for 1 h. The proteinase K was heat-inactivated at 80 °C for 30 min. CPS were recovered by precipitation upon the addition of three volumes of chilled absolute ethanol and incubation at 4 °C for 18 h. The precipitated product (crude CPS) was collected by centrifugation (16,000  $\times$ g, 20 min, 4 °C) and was resuspended in 2 ml of distilled water. The total amount of carbohydrates in the CPS was determined using the phenol/sulfuric acid method [\(Dubois et al., 1956](#page-8-0)) with glucose as a standard. The total amount of CPS from the bacterial cell pellet was expressed as  $\mu$ g CPS per 10<sup>9</sup> cells.

### 2.8. 16S rRNA gene analysis

The rough and smooth variants of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 were identified by sequencing of the 16S rDNA (Genoscreen, Lille, France). The obtained sequences were compared to existing sequences in the NCBI GenBank database using BLASTn [\(Altschul et al., 1997\)](#page-7-0) and BIBI [\(http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/bibi/\)](http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/bibi/) ([Devulder et al., 2003\)](#page-8-0).

# 2.9. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis was adapted from the method previously described ([Coeuret et al., 2004](#page-7-0)). Overnight cultures (10 ml) of L. farciminis in MRS broth at 37 °C were adjusted to an OD $_{600}$  of 0.5 with Tris–EDTA. Cells were centrifuged at 7000  $\times$ g at 4–8 °C for 10 min, washed once in 5 ml TES buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 M saccharose), and suspended in 400 μl of suspension buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 50 mM EDTA, 20 mM NaCl). The resulting suspensions were mixed with 500 μl low-melting point agarose (2% in suspension buffer at 50 °C) and poured into plug molds. The molds were then incubated at 4 °C for 20 min. Plug lysis was done in flasks containing 5 ml lysis buffer (30 mg·ml−<sup>1</sup> lysozyme, 50 U·ml−<sup>1</sup> mutanolysin, 0.5% N-lauryl sarcosine, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate, 10 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl) incubated for at least 18 h at 37 °C. After washing twice with 25 ml TE (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA) for 20 min, incubation with proteinase K was done at 50 °C for 18 h in 4 ml proteinase K buffer (2 mg/ml proteinase K, 1% N-lauryl sarcosine, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate, 100 Mm EDTA, pH 8). The plugs were washed twice with 20 to 30 ml TE for 20 min followed by two successive additions of 50 μl PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 17.5 mg in 1 ml propanol-2), each for a 30-min incubation at 55 °C. The TE and PMSF were then discarded and the inserts washed twice with 20 to 30 ml TE for 20 min. Finally, the TE was discarded and the plugs placed in 50 mM EDTA and stored at 4 °C. Each plug was equilibrated during 1 h at 4 °C in a reaction tube (1.5 ml) containing 1 ml of TE and then during 1 h at 4 °C in 200 μl of  $1 \times$  enzyme buffer. Digestion was done in a distinct tube with 200 ml  $1 \times$  enzyme buffer and 20 units of restriction enzyme for 4 h at the appropriate temperature in a water bath. The following restriction enzymes were used: NotI and ApaI (Sigma-Aldrich). PFGE was performed with a CHEF DR III apparatus (Biorad) with 1% pulsed-field certified agarose in  $0.5 \times$  TBE (45 mM Tris base, 45 mM boric acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.3). The voltage was adjusted to 6 V $\cdot$ cm<sup>-1</sup> and the gels were maintained at 14 °C for 18 h; the pulse time was 2–25 s.

#### 2.10. CRISPR analyses

The draft genome sequence of *L. farciminis* KCTC 3681<sup>T</sup> is available under accession number AEOT00000000 [\(Nam et al., 2011](#page-8-0)). CRISPRFinder [\(Grissa et al., 2007a,b\)](#page-8-0) was used for in silico analysis to identify CRISPR loci and then to retrieve CRISPR repeat and spacer sequences loci. For experimental CRISPR typing, the reference strain CIP 103136<sup>T</sup>, and CNCM I-3699 and its morphotypes R and S were used. Genomic DNAs were extracted and purified using FTA paper (Whatman), and submitted to two distinct PCR amplifications using primers flanking each CRISPR locus. The CRISPR1 locus was amplified with primers CR1Lfar-F1 (5′-ttttaggatatgagacgtcg-3′) and CR1Lfar-R2 (5′-ataccaacgtgatcatgacc-3′), whereas the CRISPR2 locus was amplified with primers CR2Lfar-F3 (5′-cattggtcgcctattgaacg-3′) and CR2Lfar-R4 (5′-gatttgaaacgtgtccggac-3′). Each PCR product was then subjected to DNA sequencing from each end with the same primers on a CEQ8000 system (Beckman–Coulter). The spacer sequences of CRISPR loci were analyzed and graphically represented using color schemes, as previously described [\(Horvath et al.,](#page-8-0) [2008](#page-8-0)).

# 2.11. Statistics

The results are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was done using StatGraphicPlus software. Data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Isolation of the rough and smooth morphotypes of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 and description of their phenotypic traits

Different atmospheric conditions (aerobic, anaerobic, microaerobic) of successive broth and agar plate cultivations were tested in order to select and isolate "pure" rough and smooth morphotypes (named R and S, respectively) from the L. farciminis strain CNCM I-3699 (Fig S1A). In anaerobic conditions, only S form growth was observed. However, R and S morphotypes along with intermediate morphotypes were regularly observed when growing the parental strain under aerobic culture conditions, as a mix of dominant S forms along with rough R morphotypes (R/S ratio range from 1 to 2%). In aerobic culture conditions, bright white, convex colonies with smooth edges corresponded to the S morphotype, while large, flat colonies with irregular edges and pale white color corresponded to the R morphotype. Those two morphotypes were isolated and sub-cultured in standard aerobic conditions and both were stable over 30 successive subcultures, and thus were thought to be pure strains. At that point, frozen vials of each S or R morphotype were produced and named CNCM I-3699S and CNCM I-3699R, respectively, and stored at −80 °C in order to be used as a primary stock culture for all subsequent experiments. The isolated R form was stably propagated over 123 serial subcultures in aerobic conditions before and after freezing. In the same conditions the S form was stable, although at a low frequency (less than 10%) it could produce, a mix of dominant S form along with intermediates and or R forms, and thus it is reminiscent of the parent strain plating pattern. Morphomacroscopic characteristics of CNCM I-3699S and CNCM I-3699R are given in Table 2. Touching the R or S colonies on agar plates with plastic tips revealed that the moist and creamy S colonies were ropy (Fig S1B), whereas R colonies were dry, granular, and not ropy.

# 3.2. Microscopy observations

Scanning microscopy observations of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 revealed microscopic differences and confirmed macroscopic heterogeneity ([Fig 1\)](#page-4-0). Culture of the parental L. farciminis strain CNCM I-3699 presented two types of cells [\(Fig 1](#page-4-0)A): one type, present in majority, exhibited heterogeneity on the cell surface whereas the other type had a regular uniform surface aspect. Microscopic observations of the two stable S and R morphotypes cultivated separately revealed that cell surfaces of the R morphotype were uniform, as shown in [Fig 1B](#page-4-0), whereas the cell surfaces of the S morphotype were heterogeneous and covered by a high amount of globular and filamentous exopolymers [\(Fig 1C](#page-4-0)). Those observations suggest that the parental population of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 contains both morphotypes S and R. The ropy phenotype observed for the S form could be explained by abundant surface exopolymer secretion. Transmission electron microscopy observations of CNCM I-3699S and CNCM I-3699R also revealed major differences in cell surface structure ([Fig 2\)](#page-4-0). The cells of the S morphotype exhibited surfaces with high amount of granular and filamentous exopolymers [\(Fig 2A](#page-4-0)) whereas the cell surface of the R morphotype appeared uniform [\(Fig 2](#page-4-0)B). This is reminiscent of the aspect of capsular polysaccharide surfaces described in a previous study [\(Dabour et al., 2005](#page-8-0)) in which ruthenium red coloration was used also to enhance capsule resolution.

### 3.3. Biochemical analysis

The amounts of CPS (expressed in mg·ml<sup>-1</sup>) extracted from each R or S morphotype of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 were  $9.22 \pm 0.04$  and 14.33  $\pm$  0.37 μg/10<sup>9</sup> cells, respectively. The significant difference in values ( $p < 0.01$ ) obtained with the R and S morphotypes might be correlated with the above visual differences observed between the two capsules, especially for the external heterogeneous part observed for the S morphotype. These results also corroborate the smooth appearance and sticky, viscous ropes observed with S colonies (Fig S1B).

### 3.4. Fermentation profiles

Carbohydrates fermentation profiles were identical for the parent strain CNCMI-3699 and its variants (Table S1). Both variants were able to ferment D-glucose, galactose, fructose, mannose, salicine, Nacetylglucosamine, amygdaline, arbutin, esculin, cellobiose, maltose, lactose, gentiobiose, D-turanose, D-tagatose, saccharose, and trehalose.

# 3.5. Auto-aggregation profiles

A significant difference between R and S morphotypes was easily visible after growth in MRS broth incubated at 37 °C for 18 h in aerobic conditions. Broth cultures of the S morphotype appeared turbid whereas the R morphotype spontaneously aggregated at the bottom of the tube, leaving a clear supernatant (Fig S1C). The auto-aggregation profiles were also checked visually according to the method of [Reniero](#page-8-0) [et al. \(1992\)](#page-8-0) where supernatant of an 18-hour culture at 37 °C in MRS of a bacterium was added at 10% to the corresponding bacteria in suspension in PBS. The two morphotypes were compared to other strains of L. farciminis and to other Lactobacillus species recognized for their aggregating potential, such as L. rhamnosus GG ([Tripathi et al., 2013](#page-8-0)), and Lactobacillus acidophilus DSM 9126 (unpublished data) (Fig S2). It was possible to differentiate the strains suspensions by visual observation of gradual turbidity and transparency after only 2 h. After this time, positive controls such as GG and DSM 9126 did not even initiate their autoaggregation process whereas the R morphotype expressed the fastest auto-aggregating pattern of all the strains tested. After 24 h (data not shown) the turbid suspension of the S morphotype was maintained. In additional experiments and according to [Reniero et al.'s \(1992\)](#page-8-0) method, we exchanged the supernatants from R and S cultures, but this leads to similar results as those described when no exchange was done. This means that soluble factors are not the main cause of the differential aggregation behavior observed with R and S cultures, suggesting that the aggregative behavior is directed by bacterial cells in PBS rather than by specific soluble factors which could have been present when added at 10%. These qualitative observations were completed by a quantitative determination of auto-aggregation using the method of [Collado et al.](#page-8-0) [\(2007\)](#page-8-0) involving only rinsed bacterial cells, without any culture

Table 2

Morpho-macroscopic characteristics of smooth and rough morphotypes of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 grown on MRS agar at 37 °C under aerobic conditions.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Cells of parent culture of L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 ( $A_1 \& A_2$ ) and its rough (B) and smooth (C) morphotypes grown in MRS broth at 37 °C, 18 h, under aerobic atmosphere and observed by scanning electron microscopy.

supernatant added. The two morphotypes as well as L. acidophilus DSM 9126, L. rhamnosus CNCM I-3698 and GG, and L. farciminis CIP 103136 and DSM 20180 were included in this study. The S and R morphotypes of CNCM I-3699 exhibited significantly different auto-aggregative profiles ( $p < 0.01$ ) after 24 h. R was two-fold more auto-aggregative after 24 h compared to S (% of auto-aggregation after 24 h: 81.35%  $\pm$ 2.69 and 43.42%  $\pm$  6.10, respectively) ([Fig 3\)](#page-5-0). This quantitative

characterization demonstrated that the well-known auto-aggregative L. rhamnosus GG and the R morphotype exhibited similar autoaggregation abilities, that were significantly higher compared to all the other strains tested [\(Fig 3](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, strain ranking according to their auto-aggregative profiles after 24 h was congruent with that obtained with the qualitative method after visual observation at 2 h (Fig S2). It suggests that auto-aggregative parameters are mainly associated



Fig. 2. Transmission electron micrographs of the Lactobacillus farciminis CNCM I-3699 type smooth ( $A_1 \& A_2$ ) and L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 type rough ( $B_1 \& B_2$ ) grown in MRS broth at 37 °C, 18 h, under aerobic condition. In micrograph A, fibrillose materials attached to the bacterial wall can be observed.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 3. Autoaggregation percentages over time (2, 4, 24 h) of Lactobacillus strains determined by the spectrophotometry assay method.

with strain-specific cell membrane factors, while soluble factors present in the supernatant only accelerate the auto-aggregative cell process, as observed by [Reniero et al. \(1992\).](#page-8-0)

# 3.6. Molecular analyses and comparison of the rough and smooth morphotypes

The 16S rDNA sequences obtained for the S and R morphotypes (1051 and 1069 bp, respectively) confirmed their identification to the L. farciminis species, since they both showed 99.7% identity to the L. farciminis CIP  $103136<sup>T</sup> 16S$  rDNA sequence.

The PFGE patterns obtained either with NotI or ApaI endonuclease on different L. farciminis strains, including DSM 20180, CIP 103136, and the R and S morphotypes of CNCM I-3699 are shown in Fig 4. Regardless of the enzyme used, PFGE typing provided undistinguishable pulsotypes for the R and S morphotypes, while these were different for the other L. farciminis strains (Fig 4: lanes 1, 2 and 3–4).

Due to the high evolutionary turnover of their spacer content, CRISPR loci constitute "first-class" chromosomal regions for strain identification and differentiation [\(Barrangou and Horvath, 2012; Horvath](#page-7-0) [and Barrangou, 2010](#page-7-0)). Based on the draft genome sequence of L. farciminis KCTC 3681<sup>T</sup> [\(Nam et al., 2011](#page-8-0)) we identified two distinct Type II CRISPR-Cas systems belonging to the previously described families Sthe1 or Lsal1 ([Horvath et al., 2009](#page-8-0)) ([Fig 5](#page-6-0); see also S3). Downstream of a set of four cas genes including the Type II signature cas9 and the universal cas1 and cas2 genes, the CRISPR1 locus (Sthe1 family) comprises 6 repeats that define 5 unique spacers. The CRISPR2 locus is located downstream of another set of four analogous cas genes (Lsal1 family) and is composed of 10 repeats defining 9 unique spacers. None of these 14 spacers show significant sequence similarity to any sequence in GenBank, which might be explained by the limited information currently available on L. farciminis mobile genetic elements such as phages and plasmids. From this preliminary analysis, we designed flanking primers for PCR amplification and DNA sequencing of each CRISPR locus (Fig S3). In addition to the above in silico analysis of L. farciminis KTCC 3681, the type-strain CIP 103136<sup>T</sup>, CNCM I-3699, and its two morphotypes R and S were subjected to CRISPR typing. All strains were positive for both amplifications, producing CRISPR1 amplicons with a size between 700 bp (for L. farciminis CIP 103136) and 2 kb (for CNCM I-3699 and its R and S isolates), while PCR amplification of CRISPR2 generated a product of 1 kb for strain CIP 103136 and 1.4 kb for strain CNCM I-3699 and its variants (Fig S4). All these PCR products were sequenced from both ends using the same primers. Sequence analysis showed that strains CIP 103136, and KCTC 3681 contain almost identical CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 loci (with the exception of a

single nucleotide polymorphism in the fifth spacer of CRISPR2), indicating that these strains are closely related, if not identical [\(Fig 6](#page-6-0)). In contrast, CNCM I-3699 contains totally different spacer content at both CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 loci, suggesting that CNCM I-3699 is not closely related to the type strain. Its CRISPR1 locus contains only two spacers, and appears to be truncated by an insertion sequence (IS) belonging to the ISL3 family. Downstream of the second spacer, the presence of a truncated repeat followed by a complete repeat may be a trace of the recombination event that occurred during integration of the IS element. CRISPR2 contains 13 unique spacers. Only one of the total 15 CRISPR spacers of CNCM I-3699 shows partial but significant sequence identity



Fig. 4. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns of ApaI and NotI digested genomic DNA of L. farciminis DSM 20180 (lane 1), L. farciminis CIP 103136 (lane 2), L. farciminis CNCM I-3699-S (lane 3) and L. farciminis CNCM I-3699-R (lane 4), molecular weight marker (phage  $lambda \(M)$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 5. Overview of the two L. farciminis CRISPR loci in the KCTC 3681<sup>T</sup> genome: A) CRISPR1/Cas loci (Sthe1 family) and B) CRISPR2/Cas loci (Lsal1 family). The repeat-spacer region were represented as black boxes and cas genes represented as gray arrows.

with current entries in GenBank. Specifically, the fourth CRISPR2 spacer contains a stretch of 21 consecutive bases that are 100% identical to a sequence found in E. coli T5-like bacteriophages (e.g. accession number AY543070, or AJ604530). Both R and S morphotypes and their pleomorphic parental strain CNCM I-3699 share identical CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 loci, with exactly the same spacer content and sequence as shown in Fig 6, which confirms that the R and S isolates are derivatives of the parental strain CNCM I-3699, and not just different strains of the same species. This analysis also demonstrates that L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 is genetically different from the type strain. CRISPR sequences obtained in this study constitute a valuable strain-specific molecular signature which can be used to detect and track CNCM-I-3699 and its derivatives, notably by using PCR or qPCR.

# 4. Discussion

L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 presents heterogeneous colonies on MRS agar and is described here as a pleomorphic strain constituted of welldefined rough and smooth variants (along with intermediate forms) whose presence depends on environmental atmospheric conditions. Although this phenomenon was initially described as being associated to pathogen adaptation and virulence, spontaneous R and S morphotypes were observed in some lactobacilli having technological or beneficial interests such as L. acidophilus RL8K [\(Klaenhammer and Kleeman, 1981\)](#page-8-0), L. acidophilus ATCC 4356 [\(Khaleghi et al., 2011](#page-8-0)), Lactobacillus amylovorus NCFB 2745 ([Whitley and Marshall, 1999](#page-8-0)), L. brevis ATCC 14869 ([Jakava-Viljanen et al., 2002\)](#page-8-0), Lactobacillus johnsonii FI9785 [\(Horn et al., 2013\)](#page-8-0), L. rhamnosus ATCC 9595 ([Péant et al., 2005](#page-8-0)), Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1 [\(Bron et al., 2004](#page-7-0)), or Lactobacillus sakei [\(Chiaramonte et al., 2010](#page-7-0)). Furthermore, similar to the present work, R and S morphotypes of Lactobacillus brevis ATCC 14869 were obtained in the presence or absence of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  during cultivation ([Jakava-](#page-8-0)[Viljanen et al., 2002\)](#page-8-0). Anaerobic or aerated cultures of L. brevis ATCC 14869 in rich medium resulted in morphotype-specific surface-layer (S-layer) protein (Slp) patterns: under aerobic conditions, R cells produced SlpB and SlpD proteins, whereas under anaerobic conditions S cells synthesized essentially only SlpB [\(Jakava-Viljanen et al., 2002](#page-8-0)). However other cultivation parameters have been shown to allow morphological changes in Lactobacillus strains. In other cases, R and S morphotypes of Lactobacillus strains were isolated by the use of varying energy source carbohydrates ([Whitley and Marshall, 1999\)](#page-8-0), or in the presence of penicillin [\(Khaleghi et al., 2011\)](#page-8-0) or bile salts in broth culture [\(Bron et al., 2004\)](#page-7-0), or more recently by deleting a small heat shock gene of L. plantarum WCFS1 [\(Capozzi et al., 2011](#page-7-0)).

In this study we compared the growth in MRS broth of CNCM I-3699 R and S morphotypes and their aggregation potential. The R morphotype was shown to aggregate and sediment at the bottom of the tube within only 2 h, whereas the S morphotype still exhibited a planktonic growth after 24 h. Those results are reminiscent of previous studies which showed that a change from R to S morphotype of L. acidophilus isolated from an adult fowl coincided with a change from aggregative to planktonic growth in liquid medium, and a marked loss of ability to adhere [\(Schneitz et al., 1993](#page-8-0)). Cell surface structures of lactobacilli are expected to contribute in several ways to their interaction with the host gastrointestinal tract and the gut microbiota, affecting their survival, adherence to the host tissue ([Cesena et al., 2001; Voltan](#page-7-0)



Fig. 6. Graphic representation of CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 in different Lactobacillus farciminis strains. A: The repeat-spacer region found by in silico analysis is shown at the top of this figure for KTCC 3681 CRISPR1 and CRISPR2, with repeats shown as white boxes and spacers shown as numbered gray diamonds; the leader (L) was shown as a black diamond, and the trailer end (T) shown as a black box. B: The spacer content of CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 found by molecular analysis of different strains KTCC 3681, CIP 103136, CNCM I-3699, CNCM I-3699-R and CNCM I-3699-S is shown. Repeats are not included. Each spacer is represented by a combination of one selected character in a particular font color, on a particular background color. The color combination allows unique representation of a particular spacer: squares with similar color schemes (combination of character color and background color) represent identical spacers, whereas different color combinations represent distinguishable spacers. Downstream of CRISPR1 in CNCM I-3699, an insertion sequence of the ISL3 family is present between the last repeat and the trailer.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>et al., 2007; Weiser et al., 2001) and interactions with themselves and with other bacteria ([Kleerebezem et al., 2010](#page-8-0)), resistance to phage [\(Suárez et al., 2008](#page-8-0)) and technological properties linked to the formation of texture in food products [\(De Vuyst and Degeest, 1999\)](#page-8-0). In this study, the L. farciminis CNCM I-3699S variant showed a ropy phenotype, denoted by the formation of a long filament each time a colony was picked. SEM and TEM observations performed on the two CNCM I-3699 variants revealed marked cell surface differences with ornamental exopolymers present only around S cells. This specificity has been associated with the production of exopolysaccharides (EPS) [\(Nikolic et al., 2012](#page-8-0)) which often presents a technological interest for food LABs (Badel et al., 2011; Ruas-Madiedo et al., 2006). As recently reviewed by [Lee et al. \(2013\)](#page-8-0) polysaccharides are ubiquitously found in Lactobacillus cell walls. They can be covalently linked to the peptidoglycan (wall polysaccharides), loosely attached to the cell envelope (capsular polysaccharides) or released into the environment (exopolysaccharides). Depending on their structure and their location, they are involved in different biological functions. Interestingly, a recent study correlated a mutation in the epsC gene of a smooth variant of L. johnsonii FI10386 to a less dimpled surface and a high frequency of small lumps on the surface [\(Horn et al.,](#page-8-0) [2013](#page-8-0)).

Here, the L. farciminis CNCM I-3699S variant also presents significantly higher dosage of CPS compared to the R variant. As the R variant showed a high auto-aggregation potential while the S form did not, it suggests that the higher CPS production observed for the smooth variant is not required for the formation of aggregates. On the contrary, CPS seem to have a negative impact on auto-aggregation, possibly by shielding off receptor-like adhesins, as already suggested for streptococci [\(Morona et al., 2004](#page-8-0)). Similarly, an EPS-negative mutant of L. johnsonii NCC533 was reported to have a slightly increased residence time in the murine gut, possibly due to an enhanced exposure of adhesins [\(Denou](#page-8-0) [et al., 2008](#page-8-0)), and an EPS negative mutant of L. rhamnosus GG showed an increased biofilm formation capacity [\(Lebeer et al., 2008\)](#page-8-0) by less shielding of adhesins such as fimbria-like structures ([Lebeer et al.,](#page-8-0) [2009\)](#page-8-0). It is known that the presence of capsular polysaccharide can mask clumping factors that mediate adherence in other bacterial genera ([Risley et al., 2007](#page-8-0)). Thus the close correlation between morphotype variation, aggregation ability, and CPS production in L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 and its variants agreed with results published in the literature.

In order to determine the reasons of this colony polymorphism, and particularly to differentiate the pleomorphism hypothesis from a mixed population case, it has been decided to perform strain differentiation using molecular typing method(s), with the idea that pleomorphs should have (almost) identical genetic backgrounds, while a mixed population of unrelated strains would more likely to display distinct patterns. Strain typing was achieved using PFGE with two distinct restriction enzymes as a broad, global approach, which produced undistinguishable patterns for these three strains, whereas other L. farciminis strains could be easily differentiated. In the absence of any other published, robust typing method for the L. farciminis species, and because CRISPR typing was previously shown to be highly discriminant for strain differentiation, notably in several Lactobacillus species, a dual CRISPR-based typing scheme was developed which relies on CRISPR spacer sequence comparison. Based on the identification of two CRISPR loci within the draft genome sequence of L. farciminis KCTC 3681, a sequence-based CRISPR analysis was performed. Indeed, over the last years, CRISPR typing has settled as one of the most powerful approaches for bacterial strain differentiation (Barrangou and Horvath, 2012) and typing ([Fabre et al., 2012\)](#page-8-0). Obtaining identical sequences for both CRISPR1 and CRISPR2 loci from CNCM I-3699 and their R and S morphotypes, while other L. farciminis strains displayed different CRISPR spacer content, unquestionably shows that CNCM I-3699 and its R and S morphotypes are related. In addition, as all 15 CRISPR spacers identified in CNCM I-3699 are unique and novel, CRISPR sequences obtained in this study provide genetic support for

the development of a molecular tracking tool for CNCM I-3699 and its variants since the pleiomorphic CNCM I-3699 strain (including its variants) has been recognized and authorized as a microbial feed additive.

In conclusion, L. farciminis CNCM I-3699 is a pleomorphic strain giving reproducibly rise to two phenotypically distinct morphotypes R and S. This phenomenon may explain survival and growth abilities in in vitro fluctuating aerobic–anaerobic conditions along with modulation of exopolysaccharides production and autoaggregation profile. These significant differences in capsular polysaccharide production shown for these two variants, should logically be supported by some genetic differences, as it is the case for L. johnsonii FI9785 and its mutant FI10386 [\(Horn et al., 2013\)](#page-8-0). Comparative genomics analyses are currently underway in order to identify the putative mutational events that explain the phenotypic instability.

# Acknowledgments

This work was performed at Caen University and DuPont, Nutrition and Health in Dangé Saint-Romain, France. It was supported by funding from the DuPont and the Association Nationale de la Recherche Technique (ANRT, France) (CIFRE 773/2009; Convention d'accompagnement d'une CIFRE 773/2009 du 2 Avril 2010). We thank Didier Goux from the Microscopic Centre of Caen University, Jean Michel Bre and Mickaël Charron for the technical assistance.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [http://dx.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.08.036) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.08.036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.08.036).

# References

- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J., 1997. [Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0005)[grams. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0005)–3402.
- Badel, S., Bernardi, T., Michaud, P., 2011. [New perspectives for lactobacilli](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0010) [exopolysaccharides. Biotechnol. Adv. 29, 54](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0010)–66.
- Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., 2012. [CRISPR: new horizons in phage resistance and strain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0015) identifi[cation. Ann. Rev. Food Sci. Technol. 3, 143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0015)–162.
- Bernardeau, M., Guguen, M., Vernoux, J.P., 2006. Benefi[cial lactobacilli in food and feed:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0025) long‐[term use, biodiversity and proposals for speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0025)fic and realistic safety assessments. [FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 30, 487](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0025)–513.
- Bernardeau, M., Vernoux, J.P., Henri-Dubernet, S., Guéguen, M., 2008. [Safety assessment of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0030) dairy microorganisms: the Lactobacillus [genus. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 126, 278](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0030)–285.
- Bernardeau, M., Gueguen, M., Smith, D.G.E., Corona-Barrera, E., Vernoux, J.P., 2009. [In vitro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0020) antagonistic activities of Lactobacillus spp. against [Brachyspira hyodysenteriae](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0020) and Brachyspira pilosicoli[. Vet. Microbiol. 138, 184](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0020)–190.
- Bouzar, F., Cerning, J., Desmazeaud, M., 1996. [Exopolysaccharide production in milk by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0035) Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus [CNRZ 1187 and by two colonial variants. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0035) [Dairy Sci. 79, 205](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0035)–211.
- Bron, P.A., Kleerebezem, M., 2011. [Engineering lactic acid bacteria for increased industrial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0040) [functionality. Bioeng. Bugs 2, 80](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0040)–87.
- Bron, P.A., Marco, M., Hoffer, S.M., Van Mullekom, E., de Vos, W.M., Kleerebezem, M., 2004. [Genetic characterization of the bile salt response in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0045) Lactobacillus plantarum and analysis of responsive promoters in vitro and in situ [in the gastrointestinal tract. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0045) [Bacteriol. 186, 7829](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0045)–7835.
- Capozzi, V., Weidmann, S., Fiocco, D., Rieu, A., Hols, P., Guzzo, J., Spano, G., 2011. [Inactiva](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0050)[tion of a small heat shock protein affects cell morphology and membrane](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0050) fluidity in Lactobacillus plantarum [WCFS1. Res. Microbiol. 162, 419](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0050)–425.
- Cesena, C., Morelli, L., Alander, M., Siljander, T., Tuomola, E., Salminen, S., Mattila-Sandholm, T., Vilpponen-Salmela, T., von Wright, A., 2001. [Lactobacillus crispatus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0055) [and its nonaggregating mutant in human colonization trials. J. Dairy Sci. 84,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0055) [1001](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0055)–1010.
- Chiaramonte, F., Anglade, P., Baraige, F., Gratadoux, J.-J., Langella, P., Champomier-Vergès, M.-C., Zagorec, M., 2010. Analysis of Lactobacillus sakei [mutants selected after adapta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0060)[tion to the gastrointestinal tracts of axenic mice. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0060) [2932](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0060)–2939.
- Claesson, M.J., Van Sinderen, D., O'Toole, P.W., 2007. The genus [Lactobacillus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0065) a genomic [basis for understanding its diversity. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 269, 22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0065)–28.
- Coeuret, V., Gueguen, M., Vernoux, J.P., 2004. In vitro [screening of potential probiotic ac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0070)[tivities of selected lactobacilli isolated from unpasteurized milk products for incorpo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0070)[ration into soft cheese. J. Dairy Res. 71, 451](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0070)–460.
- Coimbra, R.S., Grimont, F., Grimont, P.A., 1999. Identification of Shigella [serotypes by re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0075)striction of amplifi[ed O-antigen gene cluster. Res. Microbiol. 150, 543](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0075)–553.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Collado, M.C., Meriluoto, J., Salminen, S., 2007. [Measurement of aggregation properties be](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0080)tween probiotics and pathogens: in vitro [evaluation of different methods. J. Microbiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0080) [Methods 71, 71](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0080)–74.

- Commission regulation (EC) No 1290/2008 of 18 December 2008 concerning the authorization of a preparation of Lactobacillus rhamnosus (CNCM-I-3698) and Lactobacillus farciminis (CNCM-I-3699) (Sorbiflore) as a feed additive. Off. J. Eur. Union 51, 20–21 (19/12, L 340/20).
- Conway, P., Kjelleberg, S., 1998. [Products for inhibiting the adhesion, growth and/or sur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0340)[vival of pathogens, \(EP0478549\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0340).
- Dabour, N., LaPointe, G., Benhamou, N., Fliss, I., Kheadr, E.E., 2005. [Application of rutheni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0090)[um red and colloidal gold-labeled lectin for the visualization of bacterial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0090) [exopolysaccharides in Cheddar cheese matrix using transmission electron microsco](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0090)[py. Int. Dairy J. 15, 1044](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0090)–1055.
- Davidson, C.J., Surette, M.G., 2008. [Individuality in bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. 42,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0095) 253–[268.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0095)
- De Vuyst, L., Degeest, B., 1999. [Heteropolysaccharides from lactic acid bacteria. FEMS](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0100) [Microbiol. Rev. 23, 153](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0100)–177.
- Denou, E., Pridmore, R.D., Berger, B., Panoff, J.-M., Arigoni, F., Brüssow, H., 2008. [Identi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0105)fica[tion of genes associated with the long-gut-persistence phenotype of the probiotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0105) Lactobacillus johnsonii [strain NCC533 using a combination of genomics and tran](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0105)[scriptome analysis. J. Bacteriol. 190, 3161](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0105)-3168.
- Devulder, G., Perrière, G., Baty, F., Flandrois, J.P., 2003. [BIBI, a bioinformatics bacterial iden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0110)tifi[cation tool. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41, 1785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0110)–1787.
- Dong, T., Chiang, S.M., Joyce, C., Yu, R., Schellhorn, H.E., 2009. [Polymorphism and selection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0115) of rpoS in pathogenic Escherichia coli[. BMC Microbiol. 9, 118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0115).
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A., Smith, F., 1956. [Colorimetric method](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0120) [for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28, 350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0120)–356.
- Fabre, L., Zhang, J., Le Hello, S., Guibert, V., Accou-Demartin, M., de Romans, S., Lim, C., Roux, C., Passet, V., Diancourt, L., Guibourdenche, M., Issenhuth-Jeanjean, S., Achtman, M., Brisse, S., Sola, C., Weill, F.X., 2012. [CRISPR typing and subtyping for im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0125)proved laboratory surveillance of Salmonella [infections. PLoS ONE 7 \(5\), e36995](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0125).
- França, E.J.G., Andrade, C.G.T.J., Furlaneto-Maia, L., Serpa, R., Oliveira, M.T., Quesada, R.M.B., Furlaneto, M.C., 2011. [Ultrastructural architecture of colonies of different morphol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0130)[ogies produced by phenotypic switching of a clinical strain of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0130) Candida tropicalis and biofi[lm formation by variant phenotypes. Micron 42, 726](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0130)–732.
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G., Pourcel, C., 2007a. [CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0135) [regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, W52](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0135)–W57.
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G., Pourcel, C., 2007b. [The CRISPRdb database and tools to display](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0140) [CRISPRs and to generate dictionaries of spacers and repeats. BMC Bioinf. 8, 172.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0140)
- Horn, N., Wegmann, U., Dertli, E., Mulholland, F., Collins, S.R.A., Waldron, K.W., Bongaerts, R.J., Mayer, M.J., Narbad, A., 2013. [Spontaneous mutation reveals in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0145)fluence of exopolysaccharide on Lactobacillus johnsonii [surface characteristics. PLoS ONE 8,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0145) [e59957.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0145)
- Horvath, P., Barrangou, R., 2010. [CRISPR/Cas, the immune system of bacteria and archaea.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0150) [Science 327, 167](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0150)–170.
- Horvath, P., Romero, D.A., Coûté-Monvoisin, A.-C., Richards, M., Deveau, H., Moineau, S., Boyaval, P., Fremaux, C., Barrangou, R., 2008. [Diversity, activity, and evolution of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0160) CRISPR loci in [Streptococcus thermophilus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0160). J. Bacteriol. 190, 1401–1412.
- Horvath, P., Coûté-Monvoisin, A.-C., Romero, D.A., Boyaval, P., Fremaux, C., Barrangou, R., 2009. [Comparative analysis of CRISPR loci in lactic acid bacteria genomes. Int. J. Food](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0155) [Microbiol. 131, 62](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0155)–70.
- Jakava-Viljanen, M., Avall-Jääskeläinen, S., Messner, P., Sleytr, U.B., Palva, A., 2002. [Isola](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0165)[tion of three new surface layer protein genes \(slp\) from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0165) Lactobacillus brevis ATCC [14869 and characterization of the change in their expression under aerated and an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0165)[aerobic conditions. J. Bacteriol. 184, 6786](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0165)–6795.
- Kandler, O., Weiss, N., 1986. Genus Lactobacillus [Beijerinck 1901, 212. In: Sneath, P.H.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0345) [Mair, N.S., Sharpe, M.E., Holt, J.G. \(Eds.\), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0345) [2, pp. 1209](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0345)–1234.
- Khaleghi, M., Kasra Kermanshahi, R., Zarkesh-Esfahani, S.H., 2011. [Effects of penicillin G](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0170) [on morphology and certain physiological parameters of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0170) Lactobacillus acidophilus [ATCC 4356. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 21, 822](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0170)–829.
- Klaenhammer, T.R., Kleeman, E.G., 1981. [Growth characteristics, bile sensitivity, and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0175) [freeze damage in colonial variants of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0175) Lactobacillus acidophilus. Appl. Environ. [Microbiol. 41, 1461](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0175)–1467.
- Kleerebezem, M., Hols, P., Bernard, E., Rolain, T., Zhou, M., Siezen, R.J., Bron, P.A., 2010. [The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0180) [extracellular biology of the lactobacilli. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 34, 199](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0180)–230.
- Kumari, A., Catanzaro, R., Marotta, F., 2011. [Clinical importance of lactic acid bacteria: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0185) [short review. Acta Biomed. 82, 177](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0185)–180.
- Lebeer, S., Vanderleyden, J., De Keersmaecker, S.C.J., 2008. [Genes and molecules of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0190) [lactobacilli supporting probiotic action. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 728](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0190)–764.
- Lebeer, S., Verhoeven, T.L., Francius, G., Schoofs, G., Lambrichts, I., Dufrêne, Y., Vanderleyden, J., De Keersmaecker, S.C., 2009. Identifi[cation of a gene cluster for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0195) [the biosynthesis of a long, galactose rich exopolysaccharide in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0195) Lactobacillus rhamnosus [GG and functional analysis of the priming glycosyltransferase. Appl. Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0195) [Microbiol. 75, 3554-63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0195).
- Lee, I.C., Tomita, S., Kleerebezem, M., Bron, P.A., 2013. [The quest for probiotic effector](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0200) molecules-unraveling strain specifi[city at the molecular level. Pharmacol. Res. 69](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0200) [\(1\), 61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0200)–74.
- Monk, I.R., Cook, G.M., Monk, B.C., Bremer, P.J., 2004. [Morphotypic conversion in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0205) Listeria monocytogenes biofilm formation: biological signifi[cance of rough colony isolates.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0205) [Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 6686](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0205)–6694.
- Morona, J.K., Miller, D.C., Morona, R., Paton, J.C., 2004. [The effect that mutations in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0210) [conserved capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis genes cpsA, cpsB, and cpsD have on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0210) virulence of [Streptococcus pneumoniae](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0210). J. Infect. Dis. 189, 1905–1913.
- Nam, S.-H., Choi, S.-H., Kang, A., Kim, D.-W., Kim, R.N., Kim, A., Kim, D.-S., Park, H.-S., 2011. Genome sequence of Lactobacillus farciminis [KCTC 3681. J. Bacteriol. 193, 1790](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0215)–1791.
- Nikolic, M., López, P., Strahinic, I., Suárez, A., Kojic, M., Fernández-García, M., Topisirovic, L., Golic, N., Ruas-Madiedo, P., 2012. [Characterisation of the exopolysaccharide \(EPS\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0220) producing Lactobacillus paraplantarum [BGCG11 and its non-EPS producing derivative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0220) [strains as potential probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 158, 155](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0220)–162.
- Owusu-Asiedu, A., Bernardeau, M., Nyachoti, M., Lizardo, R., Brufau, J., Baidoo, S., Dusel, G., Simmins, H., 2012. Infl[uence of supplementing diets with dead forms of bene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0350)ficial [bacterial strains on growth performance of post-weaned- pigs. International Scientif](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0350)[ic Conference on Probiotics and Prebiotics abtr P-024, pp. 39](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0350)–40.
- Péant, B., LaPointe, G., Gilbert, C., Atlan, D., Ward, P., Roy, D., 2005. [Comparative analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0230) [the exopolysaccharide biosynthesis gene clusters from four strains of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0230) Lactobacillus rhamnosus[. Microbiology 151, 1839](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0230)–1851.
- Putignani, L., Sessa, R., Petrucca, A., Manfredini, C., Coltella, L., Menichella, D., Nicoletti, M., Russo, C., Cipriani, P., 2008. Genotyping of different [Pseudomonas aeruginosa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0235) [morphotypes arising from the lower respiratory tract of a patient taken to an inten](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0235)[sive care unit. Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol. 21, 941](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0235)–947.
- Reinheimer, J.A., Morelli, L., Bottazzi, V., Suárez, V., 1995. [Phenotypic variability among](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0240) cells of Lactobacillus helveticus [ATCC 15807. Int. Dairy J. 5, 97](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0240)-103.
- Reniero, R., Cocconcelli, P., Bottazzi, V., Morelli, L., 1992. [High frequency of conjugation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0245) Lactobacillus [mediated by an aggregation-promoting factor. J. Gen. Microbiol. 138,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0245) 763–[768.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0245)
- Risley, A.L., Loughman, A., Cywes-Bentley, C., Foster, T.J., Lee, J.C., 2007. [Capsular polysac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0250)[charide masks clumping factor A-mediated adherence of Staphylococcus aureus to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0250) fi[brinogen and platelets. J. Infect. Dis. 196 \(6\), 919](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0250)–927.
- Rogosa, M., Mitchell, J.A., 1950. [Induced colonial variation of a total population among](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0255) [certain lactobacilli. J. Bacteriol. 59, 303](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0255)–308.
- Ruas-Madiedo, P., Gueimonde, M., Margolles, A., de los Reyes-Gavilán, C.G., Salminen, S., 2006. [Exopolysaccharides produced by probiotic strains modify the adhesion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0355) [of probiotics and enteropathogens to human intestinal mucus. J. Food Prot. 69,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0355) 2011–[2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0355)
- Sánchez, B., Bressollier, P., Urdaci, M.C., 2008. [Exported proteins in probiotic bacteria: ad](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0265)[hesion to intestinal surfaces, host immunomodulation and molecular cross-talking](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0265) [with the host. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 54, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0265)–17.
- Schär-Zammaretti, P., Ubbink, J., 2003. [The cell wall of lactic acid bacteria: surface constit](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0270)[uents and macromolecular conformations. Biophys. J. 85, 4076](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0270)–4092.
- Schneitz, C., Nuotio, L., Lounatma, K., 1993. Adhesion of [Lactobacillus acidophilus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0275) to [avian intestinal epithelial cells mediated by the crystalline bacterial cell surface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0275) [layer \(S-layer\). J. Appl. Microbiol. 74, 290](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0275)–294.
- Suárez, V.B., Maciel, N., Guglielmotti, D., Zago, M., Giraffa, G., Reinheimer, J., 2008. [Phage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0280)[resistance linked to cell heterogeneity in the commercial strain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0280) Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. lactis [Ab1. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 128, 401](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0280)–405.
- Tareb, R., Bernardeau, M., Gueguen, M., Vernoux, J.-P., 2013. In vitro [characterization of ag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0285)[gregation and adhesion properties of viable and heat-killed forms of two probiotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0285) Lactobacillus [strains and interaction with foodborne zoonotic bacteria, especially](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0285) Campylobacter jejuni[. J. Med. Microbiol. 62, 637](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0285)–649.
- Tripathi, P., Beaussart, A., Alsteens, D., Dupres, V., Claes, I., von Ossowski, I., de Vos, W.M., Palva, A., Lebeer, S., Vanderleyden, J., Dufrêne, Y.F., 2013. [Adhesion and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0290) [nanomechanics of pili from the probiotic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0290) Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG. ACS Nano 7, 3685–[3697.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0290)
- Vescovo, M., Bottazzi, V., Prestini, P.A., 1990. [Different phage sensitivity in morphological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0295) variants of Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus [LB6. Ann. Microbiol. Enzimol. 40,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0295) 197–[204.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0295)
- Voltan, S., Castagliuolo, I., Elli, M., Longo, S., Brun, P., D'Incà, R., Porzionato, A., Macchi, V., Palù, G., Sturniolo, G.C., Morelli, L., Martines, D., 2007. [Aggregating phenotype in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0300) Lactobacillus crispatus [determines intestinal colonization and TLR2 and TLR4 modulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0300) [in murine colonic mucosa. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 14, 1138](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0300)–1148.
- Wainwright, M., Canham, L.T., al-Wajeeh, K., Reeves, C.L., 1999. [Morphological changes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0360) (including filamentation) in Escherichia coli [grown under starvation conditions on sil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0360)[icon wafers and other surfaces. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 29, 224](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0360)–227.
- Weiser, J.N., Bae, D., Epino, H., Gordon, S.B., Kapoor, M., Zenewicz, L.A., Shchepetov, M., 2001. [Changes in availability of oxygen accentuate differences in capsular polysac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0310)[charide expression by phenotypic variants and clinical isolates of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0310) Streptococcus pneumoniae[. Infect. Immun. 69, 5430](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0310)–5439.
- Whitley, K., Marshall, V.M., 1999. [Heterofermentative metabolism of glucose and ribose](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0315) [and utilisation of citrate by the smooth biotype of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0315) Lactobacillus amylovorus NCFB [2745. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 75, 217](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0315)–223.
- WHO/FAO, 2002. [WHO guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. Joint FAO/WHO](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0365) [Working Group meeting, London Ontario, Canada.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0365)
- Wolf, D.M., Vazirani, V.V., Arkin, A.P., 2005. [Diversity in times of adversity: probabilistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0325) [strategies in microbial survival games. J. Theor. Biol. 234, 227](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0325)–253.
- Zygmunt, M.S., Blasco, J.M., Letesson, J.-J., Cloeckaert, A., Moriyón, I., 2009. [DNA polymor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0330)phism analysis of Brucella [lipopolysaccharide genes reveals marked differences in O](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0330)[polysaccharide biosynthetic genes between smooth and rough](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0330) Brucella species and novel species-specifi[c markers. BMC Microbiol. 9, 92.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0168-1605(14)00450-4/rf0330)